

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/922,520	08/03/2001	Donald Pham	CISCO-9	8849	
76863 KRAGULJAC	7590 07/17/200 & KALNAY	EXAMINER			
4700 ROCKSI	DE ROAD		DUONG, DUC T		
SUMMIT ONI	E, SUITE 510 NCE, OH 44131		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
I (DELET (DEL	ice, on mor		2619		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/17/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
09/922,520		PHAM ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Duc T. Duong	2619		

	Duc T. Duong	2619	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendors for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
<ul> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A- no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (</li> </ul>	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date wave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> </ol>			cause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>		TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>		imely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [	will not be entered, or b) wil	l be entered and an e	xplanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rided below or appended.		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>X The request for reconsideration has been considered but Regarding to applicant's argument on pages 7-9 in the R performance rate as the highest rate with no packet oftor record (peak performance rate) is generated (established under test starts to drop packets. Thus, Claessens inder maintained.</li> </ol>	Remarks that Claessens fails to tea- pout". As cited in col. 2 lines 47-62 d) based on latency, throughput, or ed disclosed of such claimed limita	ch for "establishing a , Claessens discloses delay at a point in wh	peak : test perfomano nich a device

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Application No.

/Wing F. Chan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619 7/15/08

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080714